## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

CAPITAL LENDING, LLC,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Case No. 1:17cv612
	)	
JOHN C. CARROLL, et al.,	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

## **ORDER**

Plaintiff Capital Lending, LLC brought this diversity action for breach of contract on May 26, 2017. The plaintiff, through its various members, is a citizen of Utah and Idaho; defendant John C. Carroll ("Carroll") is a citizen of the Commonwealth of Virginia; and defendant Michael J. Ferraguto, Jr. ("Ferraguto") is a citizen of the State of Massachusetts. Thus, complete diversity exists. Moreover, § 1332's amount-incontroversy requirement is satisfied because plaintiff is suing defendants for \$500,000, the amount of a commercial loan that defendants agreed to guaranty. Because the loan is in default, plaintiff seeks \$500,000 from defendants as guaranties.

On July 31, 2017, the Clerk of Court entered default against defendant Carroll after he failed to file a responsive pleading or otherwise appear in this action. (Doc. 14.) Similarly, the Clerk of Court entered default against defendant Ferraguto on September 7, 2017. (Doc. 19.) Thereafter, plaintiff filed a motion for default judgment which was referred to Magistrate Judge Nachmanoff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 936 to issue a Report

and Recommendation ("Report"). On October 3, 2017, Judge Nachmanoff issued his thorough and well-reasoned Report, recommending that judgment be entered against the defendants and in favor of plaintiff in the amount of \$500,000.

No party filed objections within fourteen (14) days as set forth in the Report.<sup>1</sup> Because Judge Nachmanoff's recommendation is well-reasoned and his factual findings and legal conclusions are well-founded, he did not clearly err and therefore his Report and Recommendation (Doc. 30) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of this Court.

Accordingly,

It is hereby by **ORDERED** that judgment is entered on behalf of plaintiff and against defendants in the amount of five-hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00).

The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter final judgment in accordance with this Order, and to place this matter among the ended causes.

Alexandria, Virginia October 23, 2017

United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).